STATE OF OKLAHOMA

1st Session of the 60th Legislature (2025)

SENATE CONCURRENT RESOLUTION 8

By: Deevers of the Senate

and

Olsen of the House

AS INTRODUCED

A Concurrent Resolution recognizing that marriage is between one man and one woman; calling on the Supreme Court of the United States to overturn Obergefell v. Hodges; and directing distribution.

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

WHEREAS, the decision by the Supreme Court of the United States in Obergefell v. Hodges, 576 U.S. 644 (2015), conflicts with the original public meaning of the United States Constitution, the principles upon which the United States is established, and the deeply rooted history and tradition of the United States regarding the nature of marriage and state powers; and

WHEREAS, in 2004, the people of Oklahoma affirmed State Question 711, now codified in the Oklahoma Constitution, Article II, Section 35, with over 75% of Oklahomans voting to recognize marriage as the union of one man and one woman, prohibit marriage benefits for unmarried individuals, invalidate same-sex marriages from other

24

Req. No. 2085 Page 1 states, and make issuing licenses in violation of subsection C of such section a misdemeanor; and

WHEREAS, liberty is and has long been understood, from

WHEREAS, liberty is and has long been understood, from

Blackstone to the Framers to America's history and tradition until

2015, as individual freedom from unwarranted governmental intrusion,

not a right to a particular governmental entitlement as falsely

asserted in Obergefell; and

WHEREAS, when the Framers proclaimed in the Declaration of Independence that "all men are created equal" and "endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights," they referred to a vision of mankind in which all humans are created in the image of God and therefore of inherent worth; and

WHEREAS, Obergefell asserts that governmental licensing of samesex marriage is necessary to confer human dignity, contrary to

Justice Clarence Thomas' observation that, first, "the Constitution
contains no 'dignity' Clause," and second, "even if it did, the
government would be incapable of bestowing dignity"; and

WHEREAS, Obergefell abuses the Fourteenth Amendment's Due

Process clause to fabricate substantive rights, a practice Justice

Thomas has frequently urged the court to abandon, including in Dobbs

v. Jackson Women's Health Organization, 597 U.S. 215 (2022); and

WHEREAS, Obergefell's inversion of the natural and true meaning

of liberty causes collateral damage to other aspects of our

Req. No. 2085 Page 2

constitutional order that protect liberty, including religious liberty; and $\hbox{WHEREAS, the Supreme Court recognized in United States v.}$

Windsor, 570 U.S. 744 (2013), that the definition of marriage is "an area that has long been regarded as a virtually exclusive province of the States," meaning that Oklahoma, and not the Supreme Court, has the right to regulate marriage for its citizens; and

WHEREAS, Obergefell requires states to license and recognize same-sex marriages in complete contravention of their own constitutions or electorate, thus undermining the civil liberties of those states' residents and voters without any valid constitutional warrant for doing so; and

WHEREAS, for millennia marriage has been understood, both in biblical teaching and in the Anglo-American common-law tradition, as the lifelong covenant union of one man and one woman; and

WHEREAS, Obergefell arbitrarily and unjustly rejected and prohibited states from recognizing this definition of marriage in favor of its own definition of marriage and a novel, flawed interpretation of key clauses within the United States Constitution and our nation's legal and cultural precedents; and

WHEREAS, the Obergefell decision was illegitimate because two of the Justices in the majority ruling, Justices Ruth Bader Ginsburg and Elena Kagan, had previously officiated same-sex weddings, and

Req. No. 2085

thus were not impartial triers of fact, and therefore should have recused themselves according to 28 U.S.C., Section 455; and

WHEREAS, Chief Justice John Roberts pointed out that the Obergefell decision vilifies people of faith by "portray[ing] everyone who does not share the majority's 'better informed understanding' as bigoted," and Justice Samuel Alito wrote similarly that the Obergefell decision vilifies people of faith by falsely comparing those who recognize that marriage is between a man and a woman to those who oppose interracial marriage, a comparison now frequently weaponized against people of faith; and

WHEREAS, the Obergefell decision has resulted in litigation directly targeting Christians, such as Colorado baker Jack Phillips and Washington florist Barronelle Stutzman, for adhering to the historic definition of marriage; and

WHEREAS, by declaring sex differences legally irrelevant to marriage, Obergefell played a role in erasing biological distinctions in other arenas, threatening women's privacy, safety, and athletic opportunities; and

WHEREAS, in Dobbs v. Jackson Women's Health Organization (2022), the Supreme Court restored to the states authority over areas "the Constitution does not prohibit the states from regulating," thereby inviting reconsideration of Obergefell on the same federalism grounds.

Req. No. 2085 Page 4

1 NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED BY THE SENATE OF THE 1ST SESSION 2 OF THE 60TH OKLAHOMA LEGISLATURE, THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES 3 CONCURRING THEREIN: 4 THAT the Oklahoma Legislature hereby urges the Supreme Court of 5 the United States to overturn its unconstitutional holding in 6 Obergefell v. Hodges and recognize that marriage is between one man 7 and one woman, or to return full authority over marriage policy to 8 the several states. 9 THAT the Oklahoma Legislature reaffirms Article II, Section 35 10 of the Oklahoma Constitution and Section 3 of Title 43 of the 11 Oklahoma Statutes. 12 THAT copies of this resolution be distributed to the Supreme 13 Court of the United States, to the President of the United States 14 Senate, to the Speaker of the United States House of 15 Representatives, to each member of the Oklahoma congressional 16 delegation, and to the Attorney General of Oklahoma. 17 18 60-1-2085 KC 5/1/2025 3:43:13 PM 19 20 21 22 23 24

Req. No. 2085 Page 5