1	STATE OF OKLAHOMA										
2	2nd Session of the 59th Legislature (2024)										
3	HOUSE BILL 3835 By: Alonso-Sandoval										
4											
5											
6	AS INTRODUCED										
7	An Act relating to technology; creating a new title;										
8	creating the Ethical Artificial Intelligence Act; providing definitions; directing deployers of										
9	certain impact assessment; providing required detail										
10	certain impact assessment; directing deployers and										
11											
12	updates; mandating that developers and deployers provide certain impact assessment to the office of										
13	the attorney general; clarifying impact assessments are confidential; providing an exemption from open										
14	records; requiring developer provide certain documentation to deployer; requiring developer make										
15	certain information publicly available; permitting										
16	requiring attorney general give notice; permitting time to cure; clarifying act does not affect certain										
17	obligations of developers and deployers; prohibiting deployers from algorithmic discrimination; permitting										
18	certain filing with the Office of the Attorney General; permitting civil action; clarifying										
19	applicability of act; providing for codification; and providing an effective date.										
20											
21											
22	BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA:										
23	SECTION 1. NEW LAW A new section of law not to be										
24	codified in the Oklahoma Statutes reads as follows:										

In publishing the decennial Oklahoma Statutes, and the cumulative supplements after July 1, 2022, West Publishing Company shall include in such decennial statutes and supplements a new Title 75A, to be designated "Technology".

5 SECTION 2. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified
6 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1000 of Title 75A, unless there
7 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

8 This act shall be known and may be cited as the "Ethical 9 Artificial Intelligence Act".

SECTION 3. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1001 of Title 75A, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

As used in this act:

13

14 "Algorithmic discrimination" means the condition in which an 1. 15 automated decision tool contributes to unjustified differential 16 treatment or impacts disfavoring people on the basis of race, color, 17 national origin, citizen or immigration status, families with 18 children, creed, religious belief or affiliation, sex, marital 19 status, the presence of any sensory, mental, or physical disability, 20 age, honorably discharged veteran or military status, sexual 21 orientation, gender expression or gender identity, or any other 22 protected class under Federal or Oklahoma law;

23 2. "Artificial intelligence" means a machine-based system that 24 can, for a given set of human-defined objectives, make predictions,

1 recommendations, or decisions influencing a real or virtual
2 environment;

3 3. "Automated decision tool" means a system or service that 4 uses artificial intelligence and has been specifically developed and 5 marketed to, or specifically modified to, make, or be a controlling 6 factor in making, consequential decisions;

7 4. "Consequential decision" means a decision or judgment that 8 has a legal, material, or similarly significant effect on an 9 individual's life relating to the impact of, access to, or the cost, 10 terms, or availability of, any of the following:

- a. employment, workers management, or self-employment,
   including, but not limited to:
- 13 (1) pay or promotion,
  - (2) hiring or termination, and
- (3) automated task allocation that automatically limits, segregates, or classifies employees based on individual behavior or performance for the purpose of assigning or determining material terms or conditions of employment,
- 20 b. education and vocational training, including, but not 21 limited to:
- (1) assessment, including, but not limited to,
   detecting student cheating or plagiarism,
- 24

14

(2) accreditation,

1		(3) certification,
2		(4) admissions, and
3		(5) financial aid or scholarships,
4	с.	housing or lodging, including rental or short-term
5		housing or lodging,
6	d.	essential utilities, including electricity, heat,
7		water, internet or telecommunications access, or
8		transportation,
9	e.	family planning, including adoption services or
10		reproductive services, as well as assessments related
11		to child protective services,
12	f.	health care or health insurance, including mental
13		health care, dental, or vision,
14	g.	financial services, including a financial service
15		provided by a mortgage company, mortgage broker, or
16		creditor,
17	h.	the criminal justice system, including, but not
18		limited to, risk assessments for pretrial hearings,
19		sentencing, and parole,
20	i.	legal services, including private arbitration or
21		mediation,
22	j.	voting, and
23	k.	access to benefits or services or assignment of
24		penalties;

<sup>1</sup> 5. "Deployer" means a person, partnership, state or local <sup>2</sup> government agency, or corporation that uses or modifies an automated <sup>3</sup> decision tool to make a consequential decision;

6. "Developer" means a person, partnership, state or local
government agency, or corporation that designs, codes, or produces
an automated decision tool, or substantially modifies an artificial
intelligence system or service for the known intended purpose of
making, or being a controlling factor in making, consequential
decisions, whether for its own use or for use by the deployer;

10 7. "Ethical artificial intelligence" means automated decision 11 tools that are developed and deployed with reasonable efforts by the 12 developer and the deployer to:

- a. minimize unlawful discriminatory or biased outputs or
   applications,
- b. ensure that automated decision tools are being
   operated reliably, safely, and consistently,
- c. protect the data of natural persons by incorporating
   robust privacy and data security measures,
- d. prioritize transparency so that the behavior and functional components of automated decision tools can be understood in order to enable the identification of performance issues, safety and privacy concerns, biases, exclusionary practices, and unintended outcomes, and

1

2

3

e. promote individual rights and minimize reasonably foreseeable harm to individuals resulting from use of the automated decision tool;

<sup>4</sup> 8. "Impact assessment" means a documented risk-based evaluation
<sup>5</sup> of an automated decision tool that meets the criteria of this
<sup>6</sup> chapter.

9. "Sex" includes pregnancy, childbirth, and related conditions, gender identity, intersex status, and sexual orientation.

10 10. "Significant update" means a new version, new release, or 11 other update to an automated decision tool that materially changes 12 its principal use, principal intended use, or expected outcome. 13 SECTION 4. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified 14 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1002 of Title 75A, unless there 15 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

<sup>16</sup> A. By January 1, 2025, and annually thereafter, a deployer of <sup>17</sup> an automated decision tool must complete and document an impact <sup>18</sup> assessment for any automated decision tool the deployer uses that <sup>19</sup> includes all of the following:

20 1. A statement of the purpose of the automated decision tool 21 and its intended benefits, uses, and deployment contexts;

22 2. A description of the automated decision tool's outputs and 23 how they are used to make, or be a controlling factor in making, a 24 consequential decision;

Req. No. 9309

<sup>1</sup> 3. A summary of the types of data collected from natural <sup>2</sup> persons and processed by the automated decision tool when it is used <sup>3</sup> to make, or be a controlling factor in making, a consequential <sup>4</sup> decision;

<sup>5</sup> 4. A statement of the extent to which the deployer's use of the <sup>6</sup> automated decision tool is consistent with or varies from the <sup>7</sup> statement required of the developer by Section 5 of this act;

8 5. An assessment of the reasonably foreseeable risks of 9 algorithmic discrimination arising from the use of the automated 10 decision tool known to the deployer at the time of the impact 11 assessment;

12 6. A description of the safeguards implemented, or that will be 13 implemented, by the deployer to align use of the automated decision 14 tool with principles of ethical artificial intelligence and to 15 address any reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic 16 discrimination arising from the use of the automated decision tool;

17 7. A description of how the automated decision tool will be 18 used by a natural person, or monitored when it is used, to make, or 19 be a controlling factor in making, a consequential decision; and

20 8. A description of how the automated decision tool has been or 21 will be evaluated for validity or relevance.

B. By January 1, 2025, and annually thereafter, a developer of
 an automated decision tool must complete and document an impact

24

1 assessment of any automated decision tool that it designs, codes, or 2 produces that includes all of the following:

3 1. A statement of the purpose of the automated decision tool and its intended benefits, uses, and deployment contexts;

5 A description of the automated decision tool's outputs and 2. 6 how they are used, as intended, to make, or be a controlling factor 7 in making, a consequential decision;

8 3. A summary of the types of data collected from natural 9 persons and processed by the automated decision tool when it is used 10 to make, or be a controlling factor in making, a consequential 11 decision;

12 4. An assessment of the reasonably foreseeable risks of 13 algorithmic discrimination arising from the intended use or 14 foreseeable misuse of the automated decision tool;

15 5. A description of the measures taken by the developer to 16 incorporate principles of ethical artificial intelligence and to 17 mitigate the risk known to the developer of algorithmic 18 discrimination arising from the use of the automated decision tool; 19 and

20 6. A description of how the automated decision tool is intended 21 to be used by a natural person, or monitored when it is used, to 22 make, or be a controlling factor in making, a consequential 23 decision.

24 \_ \_

4

Req. No. 9309

C. A deployer or developer must, in addition to the impact assessment required by subsections A and B of this section, perform, as soon as feasible, an impact assessment with respect to any significant update.

D. Upon the request of the Office of the Attorney General, a developer or deployer must provide any impact assessment that it performed pursuant to this section to the office of the Attorney General.

9 E. Impact assessments provided pursuant to subsection D of this
 10 section are confidential and exempt from records requests.

SECTION 5. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1003 of Title 75A, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

A developer must provide a deployer with a statement regarding the intended uses of the automated decision tool and documentation regarding all of the following:

17 1. The known limitations of the automated decision tool,
 18 including any reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic
 19 discrimination arising from its intended use;

20 2. A description of the types of data used to program or train 21 the automated decision tool; and

3. A description of how the automated decision tool was evaluated for validity and the ability to be explained before sale or licensing.

Req. No. 9309

SECTION 6. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1004 of Title 75A, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

A developer must make publicly available, in a readily
 accessible manner, a clear policy that provides a summary of both of
 the following:

7 1. The types of automated decision tools currently made 8 available to others by the developer; and

9 2. How the developer manages the reasonably foreseeable risks 10 of algorithmic discrimination that may arise from the use of the 11 automated decision tools it currently makes available to others.

SECTION 7. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1005 of Title 75A, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

15 The Attorney General may bring an action in the name of Α. 1. 16 the state, or on behalf of persons residing in the state, to enforce 17 this act. For actions brought by the Attorney General to enforce 18 this act, a violation of this act is an unfair or deceptive act in 19 trade or commerce for the purpose of applying the Oklahoma Consumer 20 Protection Act, Section 751 et seq. of Title 15 of the Oklahoma 21 Statutes.

22 2. The Office of the Attorney General, before commencing an
 23 action under the Oklahoma Consumer Protection Act, Section 751 et
 24 seq. of Title 15 of the Oklahoma Statutes, must provide forty-five

Req. No. 9309

(45) days written notice to a deployer or developer of the alleged violation of this act and provide the deployer or developer with an opportunity to cure the alleged violation. The developer or deployer may cure the noticed violation within forty-five (45) days of receiving the written notice.

B. Nothing in this act shall be construed to limit or otherwise
affect the obligations of developers and deployers under applicable
laws, rules, or regulations relating to data privacy or security.

9 SECTION 8. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified 10 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1006 of Title 75A, unless there 11 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

A. A deployer shall not use an automated decision tool that
 results in algorithmic discrimination.

B. A violation of subsection A of this section shall constitute discrimination. Harmed parties shall have the right to file a complaint with the Office of the Attorney General and to bring a civil action.

SECTION 9. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1007 of Title 75A, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

This act does not apply to a deployer with fewer than fifty (50) employees unless, as of the end of the prior calendar year, the deployer deployed an automated decision tool that affected more than nine-hundred ninety-nine (999) people per year.

1	SECTI	ON 10	).	This	act	shall	become	effective	November	1,	2024.
2											
3	59-2-	9309		MJ		01/10	/24				
4											
5											
6											
7											
8											
9											
10											
11											
12											
13											
14											
15											
16											
17											
18											
19											
20											
21											
22											
23											
24											