1 STATE OF OKLAHOMA 2 2nd Session of the 58th Legislature (2022) 3 HOUSE BILL 4078 By: Wallace 4 5 6 AS INTRODUCED 7 An Act relating to courts; defining terms; creating the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation; providing purpose of Office; creating Director 8 position; prescribing duties of Director; prescribing 9 duties and powers of Office; prescribing criteria for judicial performance evaluations; requiring initial 10 evaluation; requiring interim evaluation; allowing response from Justice or judge; requiring retentionyear evaluation; allowing response from Justice or 11 judge; requiring certain information be published on 12 website; authorizing improvement plans; prescribing process; providing for conflicts of interest; 1.3 providing recusal process for certain persons; requiring information be kept confidential; exempting 14 certain information from confidentiality; requiring Office to publish on website certain information; 15 prescribing Council on Judicial Complaints duties and powers; providing for codification; and providing an 16 effective date. 17 18 19 BE IT ENACTED BY THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF OKLAHOMA: 20 SECTION 1. A new section of law to be codified NEW LAW 21 in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1671 of Title 20, unless there 22 is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows: 23 As used in this act: 2.4

1. "Attorney" means a person admitted to practice law before the courts of this state;

- 2. "Improvement plan" means an individual judicial improvement plan developed and implemented pursuant to Section 7 of this act;
- 3. "Interim evaluation" means an interim evaluation conducted by the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation pursuant to Section 5 of this act during a full term of office of a Justice or judge;
- 4. "Judge" includes all active district judges, associate district judges, special judges, Judges of the Oklahoma Court of Criminal Appeals, and Judges of the Oklahoma Court of Civil Appeals;
 - 5. "Justice" means a Justice of the Oklahoma Supreme Court;
- 6. "Retention-year evaluation" means a judicial performance evaluation conducted by the Office of Judicial Performance

 Evaluation pursuant to Section 6 of this act of a Justice or judge whose term is to expire and who must stand for retention election; and
- 7. "Volunteer courtroom observer program" means a statewide program comprised of volunteers who provide courtroom observation reports for use by the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation in judicial performance evaluations.
- SECTION 2. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1672 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

A. There is hereby created within the Council on Judicial Complaints the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation. The purpose of the Office shall be to:

- 1. Provide Justices and judges with useful information concerning their own performances;
- 2. Provide persons voting on the retention of Justices and judges with fair, responsible, and constructive information about individual judicial performance; and
- 3. Conduct statewide judicial performance evaluations using uniform criteria and procedures pursuant to the provisions of this act.
- B. There is created the position of Director to the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation who shall be a state employee hired by the Council on Judicial Complaints. The Director, operations, and staffing of the Office shall be overseen by the Administrative Director to the Council on Judicial Complaints.
- C. The Director shall notify the members of the Council of the number of completed performance evaluations ready for review and consideration by the Council five (5) days before the Council's regular meeting. The Director shall attend meetings of the Council concerning performance evaluations and business of the Office, keep records concerning performance evaluations, prepare reports required by statute, and perform other tasks as the Council shall direct.

SECTION 3. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1673 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

A. The Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall:

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 1. Train members of the Council on Judicial Complaints as needed and requested to fulfil the duties established pursuant to Section 11 of this act;
- 2. Collect and disseminate data on judicial performance evaluations, including judicial performance surveys developed, collected, and distributed, pursuant to paragraph 6 of subsection B of this section;
- 3. Conduct public education efforts concerning the judicial performance evaluation process and the recommendations made by the office and the Council on Judicial Complaints;
- 4. Measure public awareness of the judicial performance evaluation process through regular polling; and
- 5. Perform other tasks as the Council on Judicial Complaints shall direct.
 - B. The Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall have the following powers and duties to:
 - 1. Review any available case management data and statistics related to individual Justices and judges;
- 2. Review written judicial opinions and orders authorized by Justices and judges;

3. Collect information from courtroom observation of Justices and judges as well as information provided to the Office by the volunteer courtroom observer program;

- 4. Interview Justices and judges under the Council on Judicial Complaints' oversight;
- 5. Accept information and documentation from interested persons as necessary;
- 6. Develop surveys to evaluate the performance of Justices and judges which shall be completed by individuals who interact with the Justice or judge including, but not limited, to attorneys, jurors, represented and unrepresented litigants, law enforcement personnel, attorneys within the district attorneys' and public defenders' offices, employees of the court, court interpreters, employees of probation offices, employees of local departments of social services, and victims of crimes;
- 7. Determine the validity of completed surveys developed pursuant paragraph 6 of this subsection, report to the Council on the validity of the surveys, and prepare alternatives to surveys where sample populations are inadequate to produce valid results;
- 8. Prepare narratives for the Council on Judicial Complaints that reflect the performance of Justices and judges;
- 9. Submit any information concerning or appearing to concern a complaint to the Administrative Director of the Council on Judicial Complaints;

10. Submit performance evaluations of Justices and judges to the Council on Judicial Complaints for approval or rejection;

- 11. Recommend, at the staff's discretion after it completes an evaluation of a Justice or judge pursuant to Section 5 of this act, to the Council on Judicial Complaints that it develop an individual judicial improvement plan pursuant to Section 7 of this act; and
- 12. Develop and maintain a website for the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation.
- SECTION 4. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1674 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

The Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall evaluate each Justice and judge in Oklahoma utilizing the powers and duties conferred in Section 3 of this act. The evaluations must only include the following performance evaluation criteria:

- 1. Integrity including, but not limited to, whether the Justice or judge:
 - a. avoids impropriety or the appearance of impropriety,
 - displays fairness and impartiality toward all participants, and
 - c. avoids ex parte communications;
- 2. Legal knowledge including, but not limited to, whether the Justice or judge:

a. demonstrates, through well-reasoned opinions and
courtroom conduct, an understanding of substantive law
and relevant rules of procedure and evidence,

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- b. demonstrates, through well-reasoned opinions and courtroom conduct, attentiveness to factual and legal issues before the court, and
- c. adheres to precedent or clearly explains the legal basis for departure from precedent and appropriately applies statutes or other sources of legal authority;
- 3. Communication skills including, but not limited to, whether the Justice or judge:
 - a. presents clearly written and understandable opinions, findings of fact, conclusions of law, and orders,
 - b. presents clearly stated and understandable questions or statements during oral arguments or presentations, and, for trial judges, clearly explains all oral decisions, and
 - c. clearly presents information to the jury, as necessary;
- 4. Judicial temperament including, but not limited to, whether the Justice or judge:
 - a. demonstrates courtesy toward attorneys, litigants, court staff, and others in the courtroom, and

b. maintains and requires order, punctuality, and appropriate decorum in the courtroom;

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

1.3

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 5. Administrative performance including, but not limited to, whether the Justice or judge:
 - a. demonstrates preparation for oral arguments, trials, and hearings, as well as attentiveness to and appropriate control over judicial proceedings,
 - b. manages workload and court time effectively and efficiently,
 - c. issues opinions, findings of fact, conclusions of law, and orders in a timely manner and without unnecessary delay,
 - d. participates in a proportionate share of the court's workload, takes responsibility for more than his or her own caseload, and is willing to assist other Justices or judges, and
 - e. understands and complies, as necessary, with directives of the Oklahoma Supreme Court; and
- 6. Service to the legal profession and the public by participating in service-oriented efforts designed to educate the public about the legal system and improve the legal system.
- SECTION 5. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1675 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

A. Within the first two (2) years of a Justice's or judge's appointment to the bench, the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall conduct an initial evaluation of each Justice and judge. The Office shall complete and communicate the initial evaluations, including any recommendations for improvement plans, to the Council on Judicial Complaints for approval or rejection. Once approved, the Office shall communicate the initial evaluation to the Justice or judge.

- B. Within two (2) years of the approval of the initial evaluation of a Justice or judge by the Council, the Office shall conduct an interim evaluation of each Justice and judge. The Office shall complete and communicate the interim evaluations, including any recommendations for improvement plans, to the Council on Judicial Complaints for approval or rejection. Once approved, the Office shall communicate the interim evaluation to the Justice or judge
- C. The Council shall grant each Justice or judge who receives an initial and interim evaluation the opportunity to meet with the Council or otherwise respond to the initial or interim evaluation no later than ten (10) days following the Justice's or judge's receipt of the initial or interim evaluation. If a meeting is held or a response is made, the Council may revise the initial or interim evaluation as it sees fit.

SECTION 6. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1676 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:

1.3

- A. The Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall conduct a retention-year evaluation for each Justice or judge whose term is to expire and who must stand for retention election.
- B. 1. The Office shall complete a retention-year evaluation and related narrative to be approved by the Council. Once approved, the retention-year evaluation shall be communicated to the Justice or judge no later than forty-five (45) days prior to the last day available for the Justice or judge to declare his or her intent to stand for retention.
- 2. The narrative prepared for a retention-year evaluation must include an assessment of the Justice's or judge's strengths and weaknesses with respect to the judicial performance criteria contained in Section 4 of this act, a discussion regarding any deficiency identified in an initial or interim evaluation prepared pursuant to Section 5 of this act, a review of any improvement plan developed pursuant to Section 7 of this act, and a statement of whether the Council concludes that any deficiency identified has been satisfactorily addressed, or a statement from the Council that an improvement plan, if any, was satisfactorily followed by the Justice or judge.

3. The Council shall grant each Justice or judge who receives a retention-year evaluation the opportunity to meet with the Council or otherwise respond to the evaluation no later than ten (10) days following his or her receipt of the evaluation. If the meeting is held or a response is made, the Council may revise the evaluation as it sees fit.

- C. After the requirements of subsection B of this section are met, the Council shall make a recommendation regarding the performance of each Justice or judge who declares his or her intent to stand for retention. The recommendations must be stated as "meets performance standard" or "does not meet performance standard". For a Justice or judge to receive a designation of "does not meet performance standard", there must be a majority vote by the Council members that the particular Justice or judge should receive such a recommendation.
- D. The Office shall publish on its website the narrative, the recommendation, and any other relevant information related to a retention-year evaluation to the public no later than two (2) months prior to the retention election.
- SECTION 7. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1677 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. 1. If the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation recommends, pursuant to Section 5 of this act, that a Justice or

- judge receive an improvement plan, the Council shall determine

 whether an individual judicial improvement plan is appropriate. If

 the Council determines an improvement plan is appropriate, the

 Office shall then develop an improvement plan for such Justice or

 judge. After the Council reviews and approves the improvement plan,

 the Office shall have the responsibility for implementing and

 overseeing the improvement plan.
 - 2. Once the Justice or judge has completed the improvement plan, the Office shall convey the results of the improvement plan to the Council. The Office shall maintain a copy of the improvement plan and the results in its files.

- B. If a Justice or judge is required to complete an improvement plan pursuant to this section, and he or she fails to satisfactorily complete the requirements of such improvement plan, the Council shall automatically issue a "does not meet performance standard" designation on his or her performance evaluation.
- SECTION 8. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1678 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- A. A member of the Council on Judicial Complaints or an employee of the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall disclose any professional or personal relationship with a Justice or judge that may affect an unbiased evaluation of the Justice or judge, including involvement with any litigation involving the

- Justice or judge and the member or employee, the member's or employee's family, or the member's or employee's financial interests. The Council may require, by a vote, the recusal of one of its members or the Office's employee because of a relationship with a Justice or judge.
 - B. A Justice or judge who is being evaluated by the Office may not recuse himself or herself from a case solely on the basis that an attorney, party, or witness in the case is a member of the Council on Judicial Complaints or an employee of the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation.
 - SECTION 9. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1679 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
 - A. 1. Except as provided in subsection C of this section or specifically provided by law, all self-evaluations, personal information, oral or written information, content of any improvement plans, and any matter discussed by the Council on Judicial Complaints concerning a performance evaluation is confidential.
 - 2. Except as provided by subsection D of this section, all surveys must allow for the participant's name to remain confidential and comments in surveys are confidential but may be summarized in aggregate for use in performance evaluation narratives.

3. Members of the Council on Judicial Complaints and employees of the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation shall not publicly discuss the performance evaluation of a particular Justice or judge.

- B. Except as provided in subsection C of this section, all recommendations and narratives are confidential until released to the public on the first day following the deadline for Justices and judges to declare their intent to stand for retention.
- C. Information required to be kept confidential pursuant to this section may be released only with the consent of the Justice or judge being evaluated.
- D. Information obtained in surveys shall be kept confidential unless the information contains a specific complaint or other information constituting a complaint concerning a specific Justice or judge in which case the information including the participant's name shall be submitted to the Administrative Director of the Council on Judicial Complaints.
- SECTION 10. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1680 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
- The Office of Judicial Performance Evacuation shall gather and maintain statewide data and post a statistical report of the statewide data on its website no later than thirty (30) days prior to each retention election. The report must specify, at a minimum:

- 1. The total number of Justices and judges who were eligible to stand for retention and the number who declared their intent to stand for reelection;
- 2. The total number of judicial performance evaluations of Justices and judges performed by the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation;
- 3. The total number of Justices and judges who were evaluated but did not stand for retention; and
- 9 4. The total number of Justices and judges who received a
 10 "meets performance standard" or "does not meet performance standard"
 11 recommendation, respectively.
- SECTION 11. NEW LAW A new section of law to be codified in the Oklahoma Statutes as Section 1664 of Title 20, unless there is created a duplication in numbering, reads as follows:
 - A. The Council on Judicial Complaints shall promptly approve or reject judicial performance evaluations submitted by the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation.
 - B. The Council on Judicial Complaints shall have the powers and duties to:
 - 1. Promulgate rules concerning:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

a. the performance evaluation of Justices and judges by
the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation based on
performance evaluation criteria set forth in Section 4
of this act, and

1	b. the creation of a standards matrix or scorecard
2	related to the performance evaluation criteria set
3	forth in Section 4 of this act;
4	2. Promulgate rules, guidelines, and procedures concerning a
5	statewide volunteer courtroom observer program as defined in
6	Section 1 of this act;
7	3. Review data, prepared narratives, and recommendations made
8	by the Office of Judicial Performance Evaluation;
9	4. Approve or reject the performance evaluations of Justices
10	and judges submitted by the Office of Judicial Performance
11	Evaluation;
12	5. Vote as to whether the Justice or judge meets the
13	performance standard based upon the member's review of all the
14	information available to the Council and the Office's performance
15	evaluation; and
16	6. Determine whether information submitted during the
17	performance evaluation process shall be deemed a complaint.
18	SECTION 12. This act shall become effective November 1, 2022.
19	
20	58-2-8615 AQH 01/20/22
21	
22	
23	

Req. No. 8615 Page 16

24