



1 establishes that the formula, labeling, or design for the product  
2 complied with mandatory safety standards or regulations adopted and  
3 promulgated by the federal government, or an agency of the federal  
4 government, that were applicable to the product at the time of  
5 manufacture and that governed the product risk that allegedly caused  
6 harm.

7 B. The claimant may rebut the presumption in subsection A of  
8 this section by establishing that:

9 1. The mandatory federal safety standards or regulations  
10 applicable to the product were inadequate to protect the public from  
11 unreasonable risks of injury or damage; or

12 2. The manufacturer, before or after marketing the product,  
13 withheld or misrepresented information or material relevant to the  
14 federal government's or agency's determination of adequacy of the  
15 safety standards or regulations at issue in the action.

16 C. In a product liability action brought against a product  
17 manufacturer or seller, there is a rebuttable presumption that the  
18 product manufacturer or seller is not liable for any injury to a  
19 claimant allegedly caused by some aspect of the formulation,  
20 labeling, or design of a product if the product manufacturer or  
21 seller establishes that the product was subject to premarket  
22 licensing or approval by the federal government, or an agency of the  
23 federal government, that the manufacturer complied with all of the  
24 government's or agency's procedures and requirements with respect to

1 premarket licensing or approval, and that after full consideration  
2 of the product's risks and benefits the product was approved or  
3 licensed for sale by the government or agency. The claimant may  
4 rebut this presumption by establishing that:

5 1. The standards or procedures used in the particular premarket  
6 approval or licensing process were inadequate to protect the public  
7 from unreasonable risks of injury or damage; or

8 2. The manufacturer, before or after premarket approval or  
9 licensing of the product, withheld from or misrepresented to the  
10 government or agency information that was material and relevant to  
11 the performance of the product and was causally related to the  
12 claimant's injury.

13 D. This section does not extend to manufacturing flaws or  
14 defects even though the product manufacturer has complied with all  
15 quality control and manufacturing practices mandated by the federal  
16 government or an agency of the federal government.

17 E. No product liability action may be asserted against a  
18 product seller other than the manufacturer, unless:

19 1. The product seller exercised substantial control over the  
20 aspect of the design, testing, manufacture, packaging, or labeling  
21 of the product that caused the alleged harm for which recovery of  
22 damages is sought;

23  
24

1           2. The product seller altered or modified the product, and the  
2 alteration or modification was a substantial factor in causing the  
3 harm for which recovery of damages is sought;

4           3. The product seller made an express warranty as to such  
5 product independent of any express warranty made by a manufacturer  
6 as to such product, such product failed to conform to the product  
7 seller's warranty, and the failure of such product to conform to the  
8 warranty caused the harm complained of by the claimant;

9           4. The claimant is unable, despite a good-faith exercise of due  
10 diligence, to identify the manufacturer of the product;

11           5. The manufacturer is not subject to service of process under  
12 the laws of the state; or

13           6. The court determines that the claimant would be unable to  
14 enforce a judgment against the manufacturer.

15           F. A product seller other than a manufacturer is liable to a  
16 claimant on the basis of negligence if the claimant establishes  
17 that:

18           1. The product seller sold the product involved in such action;

19           2. The product seller did not exercise reasonable care:

20           a. in assembling, inspecting, or maintaining such  
21 product, or

22           b. in passing on warnings or instructions from such  
23 product's manufacturer about the dangers and proper  
24 use of such product; and

UNDERLINED language denotes Amendments to present Statutes.  
**BOLD FACE CAPITALIZED** language denotes Committee Amendments.  
~~Strike thru~~ language denotes deletion from present Statutes.

1           3. Such failure to exercise reasonable care was a proximate  
2 cause of the harm complained of by the claimant.

3           SECTION 2. This act shall become effective November 1, 2014.

4  
5 COMMITTEE REPORT BY: COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY, dated 02/06/2014 - DO  
6 PASS, As Coauthored.  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24